## EXPEDITED PROCEDURE – EXAMINING GROUP 3721

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Serial No.:

9/977036

Paul Wingert

09/977036

Examiner: Thanh K. Truong Group Art Unit: 3721

Filed:

October 11, 2001

Docket No.: 671.003US1

Title: AGRICULTURAL BAGGER WITH UPPER TUNNEL COMPACTION AND CHUTE

**AGITATION** 

## **AMENDMENT & RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116**

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the final Office Action mailed June 30, 2003, please amend the application as follows:

RECEIVED
OCT 0 9 2003

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700** 

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116 - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

Serial Number: 09/977036

Filing Date: October 11, 2001

Page 2 Dkt: 671.003US1

AGRICULTURAL BAGGER WITH UPPER TUNNEL COMPACTION AND CHUTE AGITATION

## **Examiner Interview Summary**

Applicant's Attorney Charles A. Lemaire and Examiner Truong conducted a telephone interview on July 28, 2003. It was agreed that operation of the clean-out mechanism of Rasmussen (i.e., pushing plate 86 to its extended position (the left-hand dotted position of Figure 2)) during operation of the primary compression mechanism would result in failure of that apparatus due to feed being forced behind the cleanout plate, preventing resetting of the cleanout plate to its retracted position, and preventing feed from being extruded into the agricultural feed bag. This feed would be difficult to remove and would stop operation of the machine, defeating its intended purpose.

Claim 19 was discussed but no agreement as to the claims was reached. Examiner Truong insisted that functional language in claim 19 had no patentable weight. Examiner Truong suggested that a means-plus-function claim would be the only way to have functional limitations in an apparatus claim. Applicant's Attorney disagreed with the Examiner on both issues. Further, claim 37 already presents such a means-plus-function claim.